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**Summary:** Early sexual debut is of major concern because it is a correlate for health and economic shocks experienced in adulthood. In South Africa, this concern has provided impetus for research directed at the HIV and AIDS epidemic, teenage pregnancy, and the effect of adolescent sexual behaviour on persistence in school. With rates of sexual debut not abating, it is expected that young people presently, as well as in their adulthood, will need to deal with the multidimensional effects that arise from early sexual debut. Of interest to the present study is high school completion, which is a well-established empirical barometer of adult socio-economic opportunities. Using data from the five waves of the Cape Area Panel Study (CAPS), this paper examines the association between sexual behaviours initiated in pre-and early adolescence and high school completion rates. The CAPS study is a longitudinal survey that was designed to investigate young people’s (aged 14-22 years) educational attainment and sexual behaviours in Cape Town, South Africa. The sample was constituted from 3213 individuals who had initiated sex during their teenage years and the analysis was undertaken when the youngest cohort was aged 21, an age at which they should have completed high school if they were on time. Logistic regression models were fitted separately for males and females. Overall, the results reveal that early sexual debut is correlated with long term negative educational outcomes. Individuals who experience early sexual debut are less likely to complete high school than their counterparts who make their sexual debut later on in life. This effect is worse for non-whites, who also disproportionately have an earlier sexual debut than other race groups. Apart from race however, the findings also reinforce the effect of other demographic factors on high school completion, namely, place of residence and family socio-economic status (SES) as measured by parental education and household income. Hence, early sexual debut adds another layer of inequality and worsens the plight of Africans, females, those living in rural areas and those who come from low income families.

**Introduction**

Young people aged 10-24 years constitute approximately one third of Africa’s population (UNESCO, 2013). Given the high fertility rates on the continent, this group is expected to continue to grow (Bongaarts & Casterline, 2012) and if Africa is to reap the ‘demographic dividend’, there is need to harness its potential. The first step in doing so is to understand the obstacles to young people’s health and wellbeing. Of interest to the present study is adolescent sexual behaviour. In many countries, rates of sexual debut during adolescence are either on the increase or they remain unchanged (Kothari et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2012).

Globally, an estimated 100 million acts of sexual intercourse occur every day among adolescents, resulting in over 1 million pregnancies, most of which are unplanned or unwanted (Rosen, 2010). Commenting on the United States, Greenwood & Guner (2010) note that only about 6% of teenage girls were engaged in premarital sex in 1900 yet by 2002 about 75% had experienced coital sex. In other words, premarital sex has become socially acceptable and this, coupled with the availability of contraceptives, has enabled adolescents to engage in premarital sex before they complete high school with partners who are not necessarily their future spouses (Frisco, 2008).

Despite the social acceptability of premarital sex, it cannot be disputed that adolescent sexual behaviour is one of the major humanitarian and developmental challenges of the 21st century, given that it can have lifelong and deleterious consequences. This is largely due to the health and social problems that may result when young people have unprotected sexual intercourse (Guo et al., 2012; Marteleto et al., 2008). In a world beset by widespread transmission of HIV and AIDS, adolescent sexuality further escalates the crisis (Ayalew et al., 2015). It is estimated that in 2012 there were 2.6 million young people aged from 15–24 years old living with HIV in the eastern and southern African regions (UNESCO, 2013). This *status quo* is worsened by the ‘feminisation’ of the crisis. For instance, the UNAIDS GAP report (2014) notes that 15-24-year-old females have a much higher risk of contracting HIV than their male counterparts (The GAP report, 2014). Reporting on Africa, Mojola (2011) observes that young women experience HIV infection rates that are 2-9 times higher than those of their male counterparts. These statistics are not only worrisome but also an indication that adolescent sexual behaviour has reached epidemic proportions and continues to be a matter of concern post the United Nations’ Millennium Development Goals.

Clearly, there are numerous social complexities related to adolescent sexual behaviours and there is a plethora of literature that has examined the antecedents and immediate effects of early sexual debut, such as pregnancy, sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and HIV and AIDS (Ayalew et al., 2015; Stephenson et al., 2014). However, the present inquiry argues that within the diverse analyses of adolescent sexual behaviours lies a neglected area. For instance, little is known about the long-term effects of sexual behaviours initiated in adolescence on important academic milestones (i.e., completion of high school and transition to post-secondary education). This is especially so in South Africa, where the focus has been on school enrolment and dropout rates (Marteleto et al., 2008), household income and risky sexual behaviours (Dinkelman et al., 2007), sexual risky behaviours (Thurman et al., 2006), the health effects of early sexuality (Beksinska et al., 2014) and early sexual debut and sexual coercion (Ritchter et al., 2015). Even within the sub-region, the focus has been mainly on risky sexual behaviours and the effects on health outcomes (Stephenson et al., 2014) and the effect of education on sexual behaviours and contraceptive use (Ndyanabangi et al., 2004).

With HIV and AIDS ranking among the most critical issues in demographic and health research, it is not surprising that the research focus has been on the health implications of adolescent sexual behaviour. The study by Clarke & Mathur (2012), which explored the effect of dating and family formation on high school completion, is an exception. Internationally, studies by Frisco (2008) and Parkes et al., (2009) have explored American and UK adolescents’ sexual behaviours and their association with the transition to post-secondary schooling. Other studies within the life course tradition that have explored this association have been undertaken in developed countries (Johnson et al., 2011). Hence, while these studies have shed some light on this interaction, there is an apparent gap in knowledge in Africa on how sexual behaviours initiated in early adolescence affect key educational transitions. Driven by these concerns, this study sought to explore the association between adolescent sexual behaviours and the long-term consequences in relation to education. The study focuses on one academic attainment milestone in particular: the completion of high school.

**Conceptualising adolescent sexual behaviours**

Research on adolescent sexuality is robust. A number of studies have emphasised the importance of contextual factors such as socio-economic status, school attendance, and family structure as the determinants of adolescent sexual behaviour Marteleto et al., 2008; [Vuković](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Vukovi%C4%87%20D%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=17633321)  et al., 2007). Udingwe et al. (2014) note that sexual risk behaviour is influenced by factors at three levels; within the person, within the proximal context (interpersonal relationships and physical and organizational environment) and within the distal context (cultural and structural factors). At the personal level, sexual behaviours are influenced by personality traits and pubertal maturation. Physical development of secondary sex characteristics occurs more rapidly during adolescence than at any other stage of development (Hidata et al., 2015; Ross, 2013). While the sequencing of these changes is universal, deviation in the age at which they occur has been observed (Downings & Bells, 2009). For instance, although menarche is expected to occur at least two years after the onset of puberty (Ross, 2013), in some cases it occurs as early as age 9 or as late as 16 years of age (Cromer, 2011). These physical changes, particularly in girls who tend to reach puberty at a younger age than boys (Kastbom, 2015), often leads to pre-teens becoming aware of their sexuality at an age when they are still relatively cognitively and emotionally immature; a factor that may drive them to establish intimacy without fully understanding the consequences thereof.

Within the proximal context, factors such as socio-economic status and family structure are considered important determinants of adolescent sexuality. Numerous studies in South Africa have investigated the relationship between adolescent sexual behaviour and poverty (Shisana et al., 2009; Dinkelman et al., 2008; Madise et al., 2007). Madise et al (2008) found a correlation between low socio-econimc status (SES)and risky sexual behaviours such as casual sex and multiple partnering (Madise et al., 2007). Dinkelman et al. (2008) examined the effect of income shocks related to death, illness, and job loss of household providers. They found that adolescents who experienced these shocks in their households were more prone to debut sexually during the period of the income shock. Reporting on Nigeria (Udingwe et al., 2014) found that poor women were likely to engage in transactional sex relative to those from better off households. Implicit in the understanding of this relationship is that low SES often results in low educational attainment and by extension, limited earning, and economic power (Udingwe, 2014; O’Sullivan et al., 2006). “These factors will in turn lead to transactional sex in search of income, transgenerational sex or pursuit of multiple sexual partners” (Udingwe at al., 2014: 988).

 Despite such compelling arguments in support of the link between sex and SES, other researchers (see for instance Booysen, 2004, in South Africa) found no correlation between SES and risky sexual behaviours. Berhan & Berhan (2014) found that in sub-Saharan Africa, risky sexual behaviour was prevalent among urban male adolescents who had completed secondary school and came from middle to high income families. These conflicting findings indicate that there is no consensus on the effect of SES on adolescent sexual behaviour. This has been partly attributed to the weak and inconsistent measurements of wealth status/poverty across populations (Madise et al., 2007).

Regarding family structure, the evidence suggests that adolescents from traditional households with one or both parents present, are more likely to delay their sexual debut and by consequence are less likely to report STIs or teenage pregnancies (Sturgeon, 2008). This is attributed to the fact that there is parental supervision and guidance in intact families. There is also research that has conceptualised education attainment as a determinant of adolescent sexual behaviours (Marteleto et al., 2007; Frisco, 2008). Education attainment has been conceptualised as both a consequence of sexual risky behaviour as well as an antecedent (Parkes et al., 2010; Ndyanabangi et al., 2004; Marteleto et al., 2007). Consequently, school dropout has been conceptualised as a causal effect of teenage pregnancy (Grant & Hallman, 2006). At the same time, higher prevalence of pregnancies has been reported amongst girls who are out of school than those who are in school (Marteleto et al., 2008).

If the ramifications of adolescent sexual debut as highlighted in the research are accurately stated, one might expect a cascade of negative consequences into young adulthood (Spriggs & Halpern, 2008). Undisputedly, high school completion is an important indicator that marks the nature of transition into adulthood (Frisco, 2008). It shapes an individual’s entire career pathway and life course as it is the entry requirement for post-secondary education. The survey by Stats SA (2014) found that an estimated 66% of South Africans with no schooling were living in poverty in 2011, as compared to 60% of those with some primary education, 55% who had completed primary school, 44% with some secondary schooling and 23.6% who had completed high school. In comparison, only 5% of those with a tertiary education lived in poverty during the same period. Considering the prevalence of sexual intercourse among adolescents, this study sought to investigate whether the timing of sexual initiation influences high school completion.

**INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE**

Drawing from the literature discussed in this section, Figure 1 depicts the correlation of adolescent sexual debut with the likelihood of completing high school. At the same time, individual characteristics such as sex, place of residence and race are also linked to adolescent sexual debut and the completion of high school. Inconsistent with the literature, factors such as family structure, place of residence and household income are used as controls to partially account for the relationship between adolescent sexual behaviour and the completion of high school.

**Methods and Materials**

***The data set and variables***

The data for this study is derived from the Cape Area Panel Study (CAPS) – a longitudinal survey that was designed to investigate young people’s (aged 14-22 years) education attainment and sexual behaviours in Cape Town, South Africa. Wave 1 was initiated in 2002 with a random sample of 4,752. Wave 5 data was collected in 2009. This dataset is well suited to investigate the effect of sexual debut on educational outcomes and allows for an exploration of educational attainment post adolescence years. To date, available analyses using the same dataset have focused on topics such as HIV risk perceptions and knowledge (Anderson et al. 2007); educational expectations; linking poverty and income shocks to sexual behaviours (Dinkelman et al., 2009; Beutel & Anderson, 2007); sexual behaviour and effect on schooling (school enrolment and dropout, Marteleto et al. 2008). The present inquiry is therefore unique in that it adds on to the existing body of knowledge and explores the long-term effects of sexual debut during adolescence on one important academic milestone: high school completion. The main research question is: *Does the timing of sexual initiation influence high school completion in South Africa?*

The sample was constituted from 3213 individuals who had successfully answered the question regarding their age at sexual debut. All these individuals made their sexual debut during adolescence (including pre-adolescence). The dependent variable was whether an individual had completed high school or not. This was derived from the question which asked about the highest level of education as recorded during the fifth wave. Hence, the education information used was recorded when the youngest cohort was aged 21 years old. The age of a child entering grade 1 in South Africa is age five turning six by 30 June in the year of their admission (Education Laws Amendment Bill, section 5 of Act 50, 2002). Thus, if a child does not repeat a grade, he or she should be 17 or 18 years old upon matriculation, (Strassburg et al., 2010). In South Africa, the final year of high school is commonly referred to as the matric level. The main control variable was age at sexual debut (ASD). This ASD was then recoded into three categories as early debut (9-14 years), normative debut (15-17) and late debut (18-19 years). Previous studies (see Khothari et al., 2012; Sandfort et al., 2008) also used such categories. The relationship between sexual debut and educational attainment is influenced by a few consequential processes. For instance, contraceptive non-use could lead to early and unwanted pregnancy and by consequence the dropping out of school (Hidata et al., 2015). Frisco (2008: 4) also note that, for those who have sexual intercourse, contraceptive use “marks the ability to plan for the future.” Thus, teenage pregnancy, the outcome of contraceptive non-use, is an inability to plan. In line with this thinking, the analysis included both parenthood and contraceptive non-use as conditional probabilities related to the decision to initiate sex during adolescence and the effect on academic achievement in terms of matriculation.

Other socio-demographic controls included population group and place of residence. In the dataset, the categories Coloured and Asian were merged into one category; Coloured. The other population groups surveyed were African and White. Family structure, maternal and paternal education as well as household income were used as the explanatory variables. Family structure was derived from two questions; a) residence with parents b) whether the father or the mother was alive. This was further categorised into three groups i) not resident with parents ii) resident with one parent and iii) resident with both parents. Both maternal and paternal education were recorded in terms of number of years and should be read as no schooling or primary education (0-7 years), lower secondary education (8-10 years), upper secondary education (11-12 years), and post-secondary/tertiary education (13-16 years). The last explanatory variable considered was annual household income. In the data set, this was recorded as a continuous variable with income ranging from approximately R7000.00 to R700 000.00. As was to be expected, in its continuous form the income variable was left skewed. Hence, to normalise the distribution, the mean annual income variable was transformed into a logarithm of income.

**Ethical consideration and permission**

Access to the data set was given by the University of Cape Town’s Southern African Labour and Development Research Unit. Ethical clearance was also secured from the University of KwaZulu-Natal’s and the Birmingham City University’ research offices. The documentation is available upon request.

**Results**

Table 1 presents the distribution of the sample with weighted and unweighted percentages. Lam et al. (2006) note that the CAPS survey design oversampled the Africans, hence it is important to apply the weights. There were 3213 individuals who had their sexual debut during adolescence (including pre-adolescence).

*Exploratory data analysis (EDA)*

Of the total sample population, the majority had their sexual debut between the ages of 15 and 17 (61.4%). Males constituted 53.7% of the sample population and females 46.3%. Coloured made up 47.4% of the population, while Africans constituted 39.4%. Whites were the least represented by 13.2% of the sample population. Approximately 63.3% of the total sample population lived in urban areas at the time of the survey, 25.2% in rural areas and 10.7% in other areas such as farms or hostels. The variable family structure was recoded from the question that asked whether or not respondents resided with their parents. About 36.3% had co-resident parents, 37% had one parent, while 26.8% did not reside with either parent. Regarding maternal education, 34.1% of individuals who initiated sex during adolescence had mothers with a lower secondary education (8-10 years). In comparison, only 9.3% of individuals whose mothers had some post-secondary education (13-16 years) had their sexual debut during their adolescent years. Approximately 8% had fathers who had tertiary education, while there was a two-percentage difference in the proportion of individuals whose fathers had lower secondary education (20.7%) and primary or no education (22.3%).

**INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE**

Table 2 below describes the sexual behaviour of the subjects by gender and population group. The subjects were classified into three sexual behaviour groups; early starters, normative and late starters.

**INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE**

Across all population groups, the normative age at first sex was 15-17 years. The mean age at first sex was 13.44 years for the early starters, 16.08 for the normative and 18.32 for the late starters. African males had the highest rate of sexual debut at ages 9-14 (26.9%), followed by Coloured males (13.9%), while most White youths (both male and female) were either in the normative or late starters. Significantly fewer African females (9.8%) and female Coloureds (5.4%) were in the early starter group compared to their male counterparts (26.9% & 13.9% respectively). White females were in the normative group (54.9%), while White males were in the late starters category (54.0%). The data revealed that African males were less likely to use contraception (49.6%) at first sex relative to White males (91.6%), while there is no substantial difference between African and Coloured females in reported contraceptive usage. Coloured females were the most likely to be mothers (71%) if they had sex during their adolescent years, followed by African females (46.5%). Although the results show that males across all racial categories were less likely than their female counterparts to be parents, it must be noted that they (males) tend to under-report parenthood (Frisco, 2008; Forste, 2002). Hence, this caution must be considered when interpreting the results.

High school completion differs significantly by age at first sex for both males and females. Figure 2 shows that approximately 60% of both males and females who had their sexual debut in their late teens are more likely to complete high school than those who had early sexual debut (26% males; 23% females with high school completion).

**INSERT FIGURE 2 ABDOUT HERE**

*Logistic regression analysis*

Tables 3-5 (in appendices) present the binary logistic regressions models from independent and nested models. The dependent variable, namely high school completion, was coded as an outcome of either 1 (the respondent has matric/completed high school) or 0 (the respondent does not have matric/completed high school). The regression results are presented in terms of odds ratios. The results are presented separately for males and females. This is based on the understanding that sexual debut is more problematic for females than for males since the former may experience more negative consequences from early debut, such as social stigma, depression, unwanted pregnancy, and abortion (Guo et al., 2012; Kastbom, 2015). Moreover, options and decisions regarding access to education have been shown to differ significantly by gender. The analysis used the pooled weight of all models by default control for ‘ever having sex’ in adolescence since the sample was constituted from those individuals who had initiated sex during adolescence or pre-adolescence.

For the female population, the relative odds for completing high school were approximately 70-80% lower for the early sexual initiators, while for those in the 15-17-year age group the odds decreased by about 60% relative to those who initiated sex in their late teens. Not using any form of contraceptive reduced the odds by about 60% and in Model 8 there was a borderline difference of 4.6% less likelihood. In other words, contraceptive use had a less effect on completion of high school when SES related factors were included in the model. Parenthood reduced the odds of matriculating by 66% in the independent model and approximately 50% when nested with other variables. White females had higher odds of completing high school than Coloured and African, although Coloured females had better odds than African females in the independent models. This effect was reduced when age at sexual debut, place of residence and family structure were controlled for. Living in an urban area also increased the odds of having matric across all models relative to living in an informal or farm area or rural area. Surprisingly, residence with one or both parents had a negative effect on high school completion. The effect of parental education (both maternal and paternal) was very significant and increased the most by a factor of up to 23 for females whose parents had a tertiary education in the independent model. However, this effect is significantly reduced when other factors are controlled for. In the nested model, maternal tertiary education has a strong positive effect with a factor of 8.796 and the lowest effect of 2.386. Paternal education also exhibits similar effects and patterns for the female population, which are greatly reduced when nested in other models. The log of household income shows that for every unit increase in annual household income, the odds of completing high school increase by 2.205 (independent) and 1.283 (nested).

**INSERT TABLES 3, 4 & 5 ABOUT HERE**

 Likewise results from the logistic regression for high school completion among males in the CAPS study show that early sexual debut is negatively correlated with high school completion. White males are up to 9 times (independent effects) or 6 times (nested effects) more likely to matriculate relative to African and Coloured males. Contraceptive non-use reduces the odds of completing matric by the least factor of 28% and as in the female only model, the effect becomes borderline when SES related factors are controlled for (8% less odds in Model 8). A similar trend is also observed for parenthood, which has borderline effects when nested with other variables. However, as mentioned earlier, this interpretation needs to be taken with caution as males are less likely than females to report paternity.

Males who live in formal urban areas are up 1.5 times more likely to complete high school than their counterparts in rural and urban informal/farm areas. Although having co-resident parents has a significant effect on high school completion, this effect is found to be lower for males relative to females and seems to disappear when paternal education is added to the model. Both maternal and paternal education have similar effects as in the female only model, which only seem to differ in terms of magnitude. A unit increase in household income increases the odds of completing matric by up to 2 times in the independent model; an effect which is reduced to 5.4% less likelihood when all factors are controlled for.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

The aim of this study was to examine the association between the timing of sexual debut and high school completion rates. The sample was constituted from young people who had their sexual debut during adolescence. The assessment was done when the youngest subjects were approximately 21 years old. This allowed for an exploration of the long-term effects of sexual behaviours initiated in adolescence on high school completion.

It is well documented that adolescent sexual behaviours correspond with negative health, psychosocial and educational outcomes. However, there has been little if any exploration of the association between sexual debut and the completion of high school. In a country where socio-economic opportunities are stratified by educational level attained (Stats SA 2014), high school completion is a barometer for adult socio-economic opportunities. Analysis of the CAPS data patterns (waves 1-5), a longitudinal and Cape Town representative study, confirmed previous findings on age at sexual debut. Previous studies have defined early sexual debut as having sexual intercourse before the ages of 14 (Sandfort et al., 2008) or 15 (Khothari et al., 2012), while typical or normative sexual debut is regarded as occurring between the ages of 16-18. The South African youth sample appear to fit this classification as the majority seem to have their sexual debut between the ages of 15-17 years. Taking age at sexual debut as a correlate for health and educational outcomes, the findings reveal that there are already sizeable inequalities by race given that White males and females generally have a higher age at sexual debut than Africans and Coloureds. A surprising finding was that more boys (African and Coloured) had the lowest sexual debut (before or at age 14) than girls. Generally, girls tend to have an early onset of puberty and research has shown a positive correlation between onset of puberty and early sexual debut (Kastbom, 2015; James et al., 2012). Supplementary analysis of age at puberty using the CAPS dataset (available on request) also confirmed the assumption that girls indeed have a lower age at puberty than boys. Thus, these findings seem to deviate from the norm and indicate that there are other factors at play in influencing sexual debut.

Regarding high school completion, the findings revealed that the timing of first sex had both a negative independent and joint effect on high school completion. Initiating sex at an early age reduced the chances of finishing secondary school for both males and females. Several explanations can be provided for this. For instance, early sexual debut increases the time period during which young people are sexually active whilst still in school (Baumgartner et al., 2009). Early sexual debut has the potential to distract young people from their educational goals. Frisco (2008) comments further on this and proves through the analysis of data that early sexual debut is inconsistent with educational ambitions and aspirations. Studies have also shown that early sexual debut is often accompanied by other risky behaviours such as delinquency, drug abuse, alcoholism and having multiple partners (Fatusi & Blum 2008; Sandfort et al., 2008; Baumgartner et al., 2008). These factors are all negatively associated with persistence in school as they intersect with health, general wellbeing, and educational aspirations (Frisco 2008). For girls, early sexual debut increases the risk of pregnancy, which has consistently been linked to school dropout (Clark & Mathur, 2012; Madise et al., 2007; Zaba et al., 2004). The health risks are also very high for young women with higher risks of birth complications and maternal mortality (UNESCO, 2013) if the pregnancy happens during adolescence. Hence, adolescence child bearing works against not only the rights based argument, but indeed also escalates the long-term consequences which might prevent young women from enjoying the protective effect of education. The findings in the present study also seemed to confirm this as parenthood had a greater effect on girls than boys. This points to an inequality in sexual behaviour outcomes and confirms findings from previous studies, which have shown that early sexual initiating is more problematic for males than females. However, since boys are hypothesised to under report paternity and in the absence of supplementary information on parenthood, these findings must be taken and interpreted with caution. Adolescent pregnancy often brings detrimental social and economic consequences for a girl, her family, and the broader community (Marteleto et al., 2008), especially if it leads to a girl dropping out of school.

 Contraceptive non-use had a strong negative association with matriculation only when SES related factors were excluded from the models. The effect became very marginal especially when paternal education and household income were included. While this could be interpreted to mean that contraceptive non-use at first sex is not an important indicator for educational attainment, it must also be acknowledged that this variable alone could have been inadequate to explain sexual behaviours. There is a body of research which has established that contraceptive use is more common among early sexual initiators (Baumgartner et al., 2008);. Therefore, there is a possibility that individuals change their patterns of contraceptive use as they become more comfortable with having sex. The fact that contraceptive non-use could be more prevalent than reported or captured in the ‘contraceptive use at first sex’ variable is also substantiated by the high rate of pregnancies especially for African and Coloured females (Table 2). A better measure to capture the association would be consistency of contraceptive use (Frisco, 2008).

Residence in urban or rural areas is complicated by racial and socio-economic stratification reflecting South Africa's history. In general, the rural population of South Africa is overwhelmingly African, while most White and Asian or Coloured people live in urban areas (Griffith & Zuberi 2007). The available evidence shows that rural residents fare significantly worse on many indicators such as education, income, school accessibility and even household responsibilities relative to those who live in urban areas (Seekings, 2010). In this study, residence in non-urban areas was associated with failure to complete high school on time. Hence, differences in education attainment between rural and urban dwellers who have initiated sex during adolescence are attributed to racial and socio-economic differences discussed in the ensuing sections.

Race is also a contentious issue in South Africa, especially considering its multicultural nature. Research into the ‘race effects’ on academic achievement in South Africa is robust and suggests that pervasive racial disparities in education seem to follow a pattern in which non-white South Africans consistently underperform relative to white South Africans. Moreover, race effects in South Africa are often decentred by their intersection with other forms of inequality. This is because education, which is key to leading a productive life, has a history of disadvantage orchestrated by the apartheid system. Under the apartheid system, education policies were weighted in favour of the white minority, while Blacks, Coloureds and Indians received an education that was inferior (Timaeus et al., 2013). By extension, this education disadvantage relegated all non-white racial groups, particularly those of African origin, to the bottom of the income and wealth distributions (Seekings, 2010). The results from the present inquiry appear to also lend support to this and showed that both White and Coloured females were more likely to complete high school relative to African females. However, the magnitude of the race effect was much higher in favour of the white population; an effect surpassed in magnitude only by parental post-secondary education. Race effects for the male population behaved differently between the Coloureds and Africans, with African males having better odds of completing high school than the Coloureds.

Residence with both or one parent had a protective effect on high school completion in the independent only model. This effect was significantly reduced when nested with all the other variables. The family structure variable did not function as expected. Both dual and lone parent family structures were negatively correlated with high school completion when other control and explanatory variables were included. This finding was surprising as the literature suggests that children from intact families have better academic outcomes (Martin 2012; Wamoyi et al. 2011). This could be an indicator that early sexual debut can disrupt the influence of family intactness on adolescents’ educational outcomes.

 Parental education exhibited the strongest influence of all the familial variables. Young males whose parents had matriculated from high school were up to six times more likely to complete their own matric and young females with matriculated parents were 2.5 times more likely to complete high school. This effect remained consistent in the nested models when other factors were included. The positive effect of parental education reinforces previous research both locally and internationally. For instance, Bernadi & Requena (Spanish study); Tieben & Wolbers (2010, Netherlands study) and Dubow et al. (2009, American study),) found that parents’ levels of education were linked to their offspring’s academic success, while Cherian (2001) found a significant relationship between academic achievement and parental education amongst Xhosa children from South Africa, regardless of whether their families were polygamous or monogamous. Another South Africa study by Mutodi & Ngirande (2014) established that parental education levels, especially maternal had a direct effect on mathematics achievement. The findings of the present inquiry extend this body of knowledge by establishing the effects of parental education on high school completion rates of young people who had their sexual debut during adolescence.

There are several reasons why parental education might have a strong influence on high school completion. For instance, parental education is an index of SES, which potentially leads to better outcomes in children (Martin, 2012; Mayer, 2010). Thus, the cultural capital previously invested in the child, through the parent’s educational attainment, ultimately redounds his or her educational opportunities. Put simply, more educated, and richer parents can provide a better environment for their children’s educational achievement (Martin 2012). At the same time, their children feel the familial pressure of having to pass and feel obligated to not waste the money being invested in their education. These children are also influenced by ‘aspirational effects’, in that when they observe a better life around them (cultural and social capital), they are incentivised to invest in their own human and by extension economic capital by staying in school (Bernadi & Requena, 2010).

Another household characteristic that was measured in this study is household income. This measure consistently showed that individuals from financially better off families have better odds of completing high school (apart from the female population when parental education was included). Consequently, this finding raises the question as to whether having more money in the household produces better child outcomes over time and if this is the case, then the most intuitive explanation for this difference is that because rich parents can spend more than poor parents on their children, these “investments” lead to better outcomes for their children (Mayer, 2010). Taking South Africa’s history of disadvantage into consideration, poor parents are likely to be African. Thus, if household income has direct effects on academic achievement and high school completion, then the South African education system is implicated in the reproduction of circles of privilege (Ramphele, 1996) because it favours those from better off families. This is a speculative point and it would be interesting to examine through longitudinal studies whether there is a cumulative and intergenerational effect of household income on matric completion.

Overall, the findings revealed that early sexual debut was correlated with long term negative educational outcomes. Individuals who had early sexual debut were less likely to matriculate than their counterparts who had later sexual debuts. This effect is worse for non-whites, indicating perhaps a multiple jeopardy where sexual debut intersects with other forms of inequality. This is most evident for African females, who despite having a lower rate of pregnancy than Coloureds (Table 4), are still less likely to complete high school than Coloured females are. Parental education is consistent and has a protective effect on high school completion for individuals who initiate sex during adolescence. In summary, the results indicated that sexual debut adds another layer of inequality and worsens the plight of Africans, females, those living in rural areas and those who come from low income families.

The findings reported in this study should be considered in light of the study’s weaknesses. The study population was limited to the Cape Town area in South Africa. The socio-economic dynamics in this province are different from other provinces in South Africa. Further, the analysis was limited to observable factors such as parental education, family structure, household income etc. There are other factors that could have led to a richer analysis and there were also substantial missing values on the parental education variables that may have led to potential bias on the parameter estimates.
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**Figure 1: Conceptual framework**
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**Figure 2: Proportion of individuals who completed high school according to their age at first sex.**

**Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the sample**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristics** | **Frequency** | **Unweighted %** | **Weighted %** |
| Age at first sex9-1415-1718-19**N** | 45120217413213 | 14.063.923.1 | 12.861.425.8 |
| SexMaleFemale**N** | 148817253213 | 46.353.7 | 49.750.3 |
| Contraceptive useYesNoN | 18509782828 | 65.434.6 | 69.230.8 |
| ParenthoodYesNoN | 123919703209 | 38.661.4 | 38.461.6 |
| ResidenceUrban FormalUrban InformalRuralN | 20333458113189 | 63.336.0 | 74.125.2 |
| Population GroupAfricanColouredWhiteN | 185311142463213 | 57.734.77.7 | 39.447.413.2 |
| Family StructureNo parentsOne parentBoth ParentsN | 966122410233213 | 30.138.131.8 | 26.837.036.3 |
| Maternal Education0-7 years8-10 years11-12 years13-16 yearsN | 99011374862092822 | 30.835.415.16.5 | 28.534.116.39.3 |
| Paternal Education0-7 years8-10 years11-12 years13-16 yearsN | 8086473891662010 | 25.120.112.15.2 | 22.320.714.87.7 |
| Log of Household Income | 3213 |  |  |

**Table 2: Percentage of respondents in three age groups of adolescent sexual debut**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable | Mean Age(SE) | African male | African Female | Coloured Male | Coloured Female | White male | White Female |
| Age at first sex9-14 (Early)15-17(Normative)18-19 (Late)N | 13.44 (0.005)16.08(0.00218.32(0.002) | 26.162.2 11.342899 | 10.965.323.954310 | 15.268.216.764087 | 6.155.638.352826 | 5.643.750.715621 | 3.855.041.116935 |
| Protection at first sexN |  | 49.637143 | 63.850692 | 78.355129 | 64.243881 | 91.614943 | 94.514663 |
| ParenthoodN |  | 22.042898 | 46.554269 | 32.163853 | 71.052826 | 2.815522 | 9.416935 |

### **Table 3: Odds of completing high school from independent models for individuals who initiated sex during adolescence, CAPS 2002-2009.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | Males | Females |
| OR | SE | OR | SE |
| Age at first sex |  |  |  |  |
| 18-19 (ref) |  |  |  |  |
| 9-14 | 0.254\*\*\* | 0.020 | 0.212\*\*\* | 0.026 |
| 15-17 | 0.422\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.377\*\*\* | 0.013 |
|  | (N=121853) |  | (N=123311) |  |
| Contraceptive Use |  |  |  |  |
| Yes (ref) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 0.359\*\*\* | 0.014 | 0.603 | 0.014 |
|  | (N=106740) |  | (N=108635) |  |
| Parenthood |  |  |  |  |
| No (ref) |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.632\*\*\* | 0.014 | 0.603\*\*\* | 0.014 |
|  | (N=121520) |  | (N=123269) |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |
| African (ref) |  |  |  |  |
| White | 8.6222\*\*\* | 0.023 | 10.304\*\*\* | 0.023 |
| Coloured | 0.956\*\*\* | 0.013 | 1.168\*\*\* | 0.013 |
|  | (N=121854) |  | (N=123310) |  |
| Place of Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Rural (ref) |  |  |  |  |
| Urban Formal | 1.578\*\*\* | 0.018 | 1.963\*\*\* | 0.015 |
| Urban Informal | 0.795\*\*\* | 0.029 | 0.799\*\*\* | 0.026 |
|  | (N=120891) |  | (N=122529) |  |
| Family Structure |  |  |  |  |
| No Parents (ref)  |  |  |  |  |
| Both Parents | 1.462\*\*\* | 0.015 | 2.206\*\*\* | 0.015 |
| One Parent | 1.034\*\*\* | 0.016 | 1.266\*\*\* | 0.015 |
| Maternal Education |  |  |  |  |
| 0-7years (ref)  |  |  |  |  |
| 8-10 years | 1.727\*\*\* | 0.016 | 2.769\*\*\* | 0.016 |
| 11-12 years | 3.444\*\*\* | 0.019 | 6.798\*\*\* | 0.019 |
| 13-16 years | 13.112\*\*\* | 0.027 | 23.040\*\*\* | 0.030 |
|  | (N=107073) |  | (N=109230) |  |
| Paternal Education |  |  |  |  |
| 0-7years (ref)  |  |  |  |  |
| 8-10 years | 1.850\*\*\* | 0.019 | 2.222\*\*\* | 0.019 |
| 11-12 years | 4.192\*\*\* | 0.021 | 5.205 | 0.020 |
| 13-16 years | 14.453\*\*\* | 0.031 | 16.835\*\*\* | 0.032 |
|  | (N=80983) |  | (N=79371) |  |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |
| Log of hhIncome | 2.045\*\*\* | 0.008 | 2.254\*\*\* | 0.008 |
|  | (N=121847) |  | (N=123315) |  |

\*p<0.10, \*\* p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.005

**Table 4: Nested models of High School completion for the male population who initiated sex during adolescence, CAPS 2002-2009**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variables** | **Model1****(N=106738)** | **Model 2****(N=106408)** | **Model 3****(N=106402)** | **Model 4****(N=105678)** | **Model 5****(N=105669)** | **Model 6****(N=92865)** | **Model 7****(N=65934)** | **Model 8****(N=65934)** |
| OR | SE | OR | SE | OR | SE | OR | SE | OR | SE | OR | SE | OR | SE | OR | SE |
| **Age at first sex** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **18-19 (ref0** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **9-14** | 0.264\*\*\* | 0.023 | 0.269\*\*\* | 0.023 | 0.389\*\*\* | 0.024 | 0.393\*\*\* | 0.024 | 0.388\*\*\* | 0.024 | 0.409\*\*\* | 0.027 | 0.391\*\*\* | 0.033 | 0.390\*\*\* | 0.033 |
| **15-17** | 0.437\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.445\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.623\*\*\* | 0.018 | 0.643\*\*\* | 0.018 | 0.633\*\*\* | 0.018 | 0.673\*\*\* | 0.020 | 0.660\*\*\* | 0.023 | 0.662\*\* | 0.023 |
| **Contraceptive non-use** | 0.716\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.753\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.827\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.845\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.867\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.793\*\*\* | 0.018 | 0.911\*\*\* | 0.022 | 0.910\*\* | 0.022 |
| **Parenthood** |  |  | 0.752\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.979 | 0.016 | 0.965\*\* | 0.016 | 0.969\*\* | 0.016 | 1.018 | 0.017 | 0.983 | 0.022 | 0.981\*\*\* | 0.022 |
| **Race** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **African (Ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **White** |  |  |  |  | 5.946\*\*\* | 0.025 | 4.846\*\*\* | 0.027 | 4.580\*\*\* | 0.028 | 2.690\*\*\* | 0.031 | 1.746\*\*\* | 0.039 | 1.921\*\*\* | 0.050 |
| **Coloured** |  |  |  |  | 0.836\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.677\*\*\* | 0.019 | 0.644\*\*\* | 0.019 | 0.706\*\*\* | 0.021 | 0.805\*\*\* | 0.027 | 0.846\*\*\* | 0.031 |
| **Place of Residence** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Rural (Ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Urban Formal** |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.440\*\*\* | 0.023 | 1.382\*\*\* | 0.024 | 1.166\*\*\* | 0.026 | 1.219\*\*\* | 0.033 | 1.229\*\*\* | 0.033 |
| **Urban Informal** |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.795\*\*\* | 0.033 | 0.752\*\*\* | 0.032 | 0.686\*\*\* | 0.035 | 0.571\*\*\* | 0.046 | 0.572\*\*\* | 0.046 |
| **Family Structure** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **No Parents (Ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Both Parents** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.703\*\*\* | 0.019 | 0.857\*\*\* | 0.021 | 0.963 | 0.026 | 0.968 | 0.026 |
| **One Parent** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.747\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.731\*\*\* | 0.017 | 0.777\*\*\* | 0.021 | 0.777\*\*\* | 0.021 |
| **Maternal Education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **0-7 years (Ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **8-10 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.468\*\*\* | 0.018 | 1.107\*\*\* | 0.022 | 1.112\*\*\* | 0.022 |
| **11-12 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.119\*\*\* | 0.022 | 1.793\*\*\* | 0.028 | 1.818\*\*\* | 0.029 |
| **13-16 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.144\*\*\* | 0.032 | 2.951\*\*\* | 0.042 | 3.014\*\*\* | 0.042 |
| **Paternal Education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **0-7 years (Ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **8-10 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.700\*\*\* | 0.022 | 1.704\*\* | 0.022 |
| **11-12 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.431\*\*\* | 0.028 | 2.459\*\*\* | 0.028 |
| **13-16 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.561\*\*\* | 0.041 | 4.673\*\*\* | 0.041 |
| **Household Income** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Log hhIncome** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.945\*\*\* | 0.018 |
| **Loglikelihood** | **138644.364** | **137842.171** | **129542.304** | **128136.352** | **127644.586** | **108962.416** | **75397.517** | **75388.044** |

\*p<0.10, \*\* p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.005

**Table 5: Nested models of High School Completion for the female population who initiated sex during adolescence, CAPS 2002-2009**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variables** | **Model 1****(N=108634)** | **Model 2****(N=108594)** | **Model 3****(N= (108598)** | **Model 4****(N=108002)** | **Model 5****(N=108005)** | **Model 6****(N=95596)** | **Model 7****(N=66865)** | **Model 8****(N=66865)** |
| **OR** | **SE** | **OR** | **SE** | **OR** | **SE** | **OR** | **SE** | **OR** | **SE** | **OR** | **SE** | **OR** | **SE** | **OR** | **SE** |
| **Age at first sex** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **18-19 (ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **9-14** | 0.230\*\*\* | 0.029 | 0.247\*\*\* | 0.029 | 0.281\*\*\* | 0.030 | 0.294\*\*\* | 0.030 | 0.286\*\*\* | 0.030 | 0.281\*\*\* | 0.033 | 0.232\*\*\* | 0.044 | 0.220\*\*\* | 0.045 |
| **15-17** | 0.385\*\*\* | 0.014 | 0.393\*\*\* | 0.014 | 0.399\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.406\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.399\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.419\*\*\* | 0.017 | 0.399\*\*\* | 0.021 | 0.404 | 0.021 |
| **Contraceptive non-use** | 0.399\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.521\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.619\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.620\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.655\*\*\* | 0.016 | 0.865\*\*\* | 0.018 | 0.923\*\*\* | 0.023 | 0.954\*\* | 0.023 |
| **Parenthood** |  |  | 0.397\*\*\* | 0.014 | 0.498\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.509\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.516\*\*\* | 0.015 | 0.576\*\*\* | 0.017 | 0.505\*\*\* | 0.021 | 0.509\*\*\* | 0.021 |
| **Race** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **African (ref)**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **White** |  |  |  |  | 7.421\*\*\* | 0.026 | 6.388\*\*\* | 0.027 | 5.916\*\*\* | 0.028 | 2.742\*\*\* | 0.031 | 2.298\*\*\* | 0.036 | 1.473\*\*\* | 0.049 |
| **Coloured** |  |  |  |  | 1.308\*\*\* | 0.015 | 1.107\*\*\* | 0.018 | 1.014 | 0.018 | 1.329\*\*\* | 0.020 | 1.731\*\*\* | 0.026 | 1.380\*\*\* | 0.031 |
| **Place of residence** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Rural (ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Urban Formal** |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.284\*\*\* | 0.020 | 1.212\*\*\* | 0.020 | 0.954\*\* | 0.022 | 1.022 | 0.030 | 0.985 | 0.030 |
| **Urban Informal** |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.663\*\*\* | 0.030 | 0.598\*\*\*  | 0.030 | 0.669\*\*\* | 0.032 | 0.734\*\*\* | 0.040 | 0.717\*\*\* | 0.040 |
| **Family Structure** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **No Parents (ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Both Parents** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.565\*\*\* | 0.018 | 0.630\*\*\* | 0.021 | 0.639\*\*\* | 0.025 | 0.633\*\*\* | 0.025 |
| **One Parent** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.698\*\*\* | 0.017 | 0.735\*\*\* | 0.018 | 0.717\*\*\* | 0.023 | 0.720\*\*\* | 0.023 |
| **Maternal Education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **0-7 years (ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **8-10 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.386\*\*\* | 0.018 | 2.476\*\*\* | 0.024 | 2.521\*\*\* | 0.024 |
| **11-12 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.682\*\*\* | 0.023 | 3.839\*\*\* | 0.032 | 3.818\*\*\* | 0.032 |
| **13-16 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.796\*\*\* | 0.037 | 5.670\*\*\* | 0.045 | 5.464\*\*\* | 0.045 |
| **Paternal Education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **0-7 years (ref)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **8-10 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.383\*\*\* | 0.024 | 1.374\*\*\* | 0.024 |
| **11-12 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.922\*\*\* | 0.029 | 1.884\*\*\* | 0.029 |
| **13-16 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.610\*\*\* | 0.047 | 2.414\*\*\* | 0.047 |
| **Household Income** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Log hhIncome** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.283\*\*\* | 0.018 |
| **Loglikelihood** | **136683.539** | **132022.017** | **124643.818** | **123387.702** | **122380.225** | **104580.766** | **69401.344** | **69215.042** |

\*p<0.10,\*\* p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.005